Quantization of Mode Shifts in Nanocavities Integrated with Atomically Thin Sheets

Nan Fang,* Daiki Yamashita,* Shun Fujii, Keigo Otsuka, Takashi Taniguchi, Kenji Watanabe, Kosuke Nagashio, and Yuichiro K. Kato*

The unique optical properties of 2D layered materials are attractive for achieving increased functionality in integrated photonics. Owing to the van der Waals nature, these materials are ideal for integrating with nanoscale photonic structures. Here a carefully designed air-mode silicon photonic crystal nanobeam cavity for efficient control through 2D materials is reported. By systematically investigating various types and thicknesses of 2D materials, the authors are able to show that enhanced responsivity allows for giant shifts of the resonant wavelength. With atomically precise thickness over a macroscopic area, few-layer flakes give rise to quantization of the mode shifts. The dielectric constant of the flakes is extracted and found to be independent of the layer number down to a monolayer. Flexible reconfiguration of a cavity is demonstrated by stacking and removing ultrathin flakes. With an unconventional cavity design, these results open up new possibilities for photonic devices integrated with 2D materials.

N. Fang, K. Otsuka, Y. K. Kato Nanoscale Quantum Photonics Laboratory RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research Saitama 351-0198, Japan E-mail: nan.fang@riken.jp; yuichiro.kato@riken.jp D. Yamashita, S. Fujii, Y. K. Kato Quantum Optoelectronics Research Team **RIKEN** Center for Advanced Photonics Saitama 351-0198, Japan E-mail: daiki.yamashita@riken.jp K. Otsuka Department of Mechanical Engineering The University of Tokyo Tokyo 113-8656, Japan T. Taniguchi International Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics National Institute for Materials Science Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan K. Watanabe Research Center for Functional Materials National Institute for Materials Science Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan K. Nagashio Department of Materials Engineering The University of Tokyo Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

D The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.202200538.

© 2022 The Authors. Advanced Optical Materials published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: 10.1002/adom.202200538

1. Introduction

2D layered materials such as graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), and hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) have attracted considerable attention due to their exotic physical properties and potential for diverse applications. Monolayer graphene exhibits the quantum Hall effect even at room temperature,^[1] while ultrathin TMD based field-effect transistors are promising for continuation of the Moore's Law.^[2] Moiré patterns can be created by layers with twisted angles, which has led to the observations of superconductivity in bilayer graphene^[3] and ferroelectricity in bilayer h-BN.^[4] Many TMDs are found to become direct bandgap semiconduc-

tors at the monolayer limit, making them the thinnest optical emitters.^[5] Furthermore, rich optical phenomena exist in these atomically thin flakes, such as spin-valley coupling,^[6] Moiré excitons,^[7] and layer number dependent nonlinearity.^[8]

To further utilize the optical properties of TMDs, microcavities can be used to enhance the light- matter interaction. By forming TMD/cavity hybrids, nanolasers have been demonstrated^[9-11] and second-order nonlinear response has significantly improved.^[12,13] Evidence for strong coupling and formation of microcavity polaritons have also been reported.^[14] Compared to the successful use of TMDs as an active material within microcavities, it is a challenge to control the cavity modes with 2D materials. Because of the atomically thin nature, cavity modes are barely affected and the resonant wavelength can only be tuned slightly.^[15-20] With atomically precise thickness over macroscopic areas and extensive compatibility offered by van der Waals interface, photonic devices controlled through single atomic layers would present a new direction in nanotechnology. Cavity structures designed specifically for enhanced sensitivity to ultra-thin materials is required to unravel the full functionality and capability of the TMD/cavity hybrids.

Here we substantially boost the responsiveness of microcavities to 2D materials by using specially designed photonic crystal nanobeam structures. Various 2D material types and thickness dependence are investigated, and we find that it is possible to achieve giant wavelength shifts of the cavity mode. By precisely controlling tungsten diselenide (WSe₂) thickness down to a monolayer, we observe quantized mode shifts of the 2D/cavity hybrids. The dielectric constant in the atomically thin limit can be extracted by comparing to finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) calculations. Stacking and removal of WSe₂ flakes are also performed, demonstrating the flexible reconfiguration capability of the 2D/cavity hybrids.

2. Results and Discussion

www.advancedsciencenews.com

2.1. Design of 2D/Cavity Hybrids

We begin by designing cavities suited for interaction with 2D materials. Most microcavities, such as microsphere resonators,^[21,22] are designed based on refractive index contrast between two media, and the modes are confined in the high-index medium using total internal reflection. The evanescent fields are typically small outside of the cavity, and coupling to 2D materials would be weak. Reducing the size of the cavity would force the mode to extend outside, and therefore smaller mode volumes are in general favorable for enhanced evanescent fields. For example, toroidal microcavities having small diameters show more of the modes occupying the space external to the cavity.^[23]

We propose to use photonic crystal (PC) nanobeam cavities with ultra-small mode volumes,^[24] consisting of an array of air holes on a silicon waveguide as shown in **Figure 1**a,b. Bragg reflectors are formed by periodic arrays of holes, and localized modes are formed by modulating the periodicity at the cavity center to break the Bragg condition. The nanobeam cavities feature ultra-small mode volumes on the order of $10^{-2}\lambda^3$ where λ is the cavity wavelength. In addition, the air holes act to reduce the average refractive index, weakening the confinement and increasing the evanescent fields.

We further utilize the characteristics of the photonic bands to engineer the cavity modes for enhancing the interaction with 2D materials. The periodic modulation of the refractive index gives rise to a photonic bandgap, separating the lower frequency dielectric band from the higher frequency air band.^[25] The dielectric bands are characterized by field amplitudes maximized within the dielectric material, while the air bands exhibit large fields in the air holes. The dielectric-band modes can be confined by locally reducing the lattice constant, as the frequency of the modes will become higher and the photons will be surrounded by the photonic bandgap.^[25] Similarly, airband modes can be confined by introducing a larger lattice constant region.^[26,27] We expect that the air mode would have increased coupling with 2D materials because of stronger fields in air. Furthermore, the air band is closer to the light line than the dielectric band, corresponding to a lower effective refractive index with stronger evanescent fields.

The mode profiles in the two different cavity designs are mapped out by performing FDTD calculations. The *y*-component of the electric field E_y for the fundamental transverse-electric mode in the dielectric-mode cavity is shown in Figure 1c,



Figure 1. Design of PC nanobeam cavities. a) A schematic of a 2D/cavity hybrid. b) A top-view SEM image of a bare nanobeam cavity. Spatial distribution of E_y for the fundamental cavity modes of c) dielectric-mode and d) air-mode structures with top views (top) and cross-section views (bottom). PL spectra of the fundamental mode for e) a dielectric-mode nanobeam and f) an air-mode nanobeam with (red) and without (black) a 9.0-nm-thick WSe₂ flake on top. The excitation power is 300 μ W and the excitation wavelength is 780 nm. Spectra are plotted with respect to the resonant wavelengths before the transfer of WSe₂ flakes, which are 1405.6 and 1477.4 nm for (e) and (f), respectively. We note that the initial resonant wavelength in the present range barely affects the shift values in the FDTD simulation. Dots are data and solid lines are Lorentzian peak fits. Arrows indicate the shift directions. Scale bars in (b–d) are 1 μ m.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____ www.advancedsciencenews.com

and higher field amplitudes within silicon are confirmed as illustrated in the top view. In the air-mode cavity, the fields are mostly distributed within the holes as shown in Figure 1d. The mode profiles are distinctly different not only in the x-y plane but also for the evanescent fields in the *z*-direction as can be seen in the cross sectional views. The dielectric-mode cavity does show some evanescent fields, but the air-mode cavity exhibits even larger evanescent fields that extend farther out into free space as expected (see Figure S1, Supporting Information).

We experimentally compare the dielectric mode and the air mode cavities by transferring WSe₂ flakes onto the devices. The cavities are designed to have the fundamental mode in the telecommunication band based on the FDTD calculations, and we fabricate the nanobeams from a silicon-on-insulator substrate using electron beam lithography and inductively-coupled plasma etching. WSe₂ flakes with the same thickness of 9.0 nm are transferred on the two types of cavities using a conventional polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp method.^[28] The interaction with the 2D material is evaluated with a home-built confocal photoluminescence (PL) microscopy system at room temperature by comparing the fundamental mode before and after the transfer of WSe₂. All measurements are performed in dry nitrogen to avoid environmental effects (see Figure S2, Supporting Information).

PL spectra for the dielectric-mode and the air-mode cavities are shown in Figure 1e,f, respectively. A single sharp peak is observed in each spectrum and is identified as the fundamental mode. The dielectric-mode cavity shows a redshift of 13.5 nm after the transfer, which is attributed to a change in the average dielectric constant. In comparison, the air-mode cavity has an increased shift value by almost twofold. The larger shift indicates the enhanced responsivity, consistent with the simulations showing stronger fields for the air mode cavities. We note that higher-order modes show even more wavelength shifts because of the larger fraction of the mode volumes in free space (see Figure S3, Supporting Information).

We now survey the interaction of various 2D materials with the air-mode cavities. Four typical 2D materials are studied including insulating h-BN and semiconducting TMDs ranging from molybdenum disulfide (MoS_2), WSe_2 , to molybdenum ditelluride ($MoTe_2$). We select flakes with thickness close to 11 nm, and compare PL spectra before and after the transfer. As shown in **Figure 2**, various 2D materials show distinct shift values because of the different dielectric constants. The smallest redshift of only 7.6 nm is observed for h-BN, which is a widely studied 2D material for photonics.^[29,30] For the TMDs, the redshifts increase in the order of MoS₂, WSe₂, and MoTe₂. The tail of the MoTe₂ emission spectrum shows some overlap with the cavity mode, which is expected to lead to considerable cavity loss by absorption and exciton-cavity coupling.^[31] In the following study, we make use of WSe₂ which exhibits large shifts with negligible emission in the present wavelength range.^[32]

2.2. Giant Wavelength Tuning in WSe₂/Cavity Hybrids

To explore the capability of the enhanced responsiveness in WSe_2 /cavity hybrids, modifications of the cavity mode by thick WSe_2 flakes are investigated. We transfer flakes with different thickness *t* onto the cavities and mode shifts are measured by PL spectroscopy. As shown in **Figure 3**a, the redshifts become larger with increasing *t* owing to a larger mode overlap. The largest value of 201.8 nm is achieved for *t* = 40.3 nm, where the mode wavelength is close to the detection limit of our detector. We note that the tuning range covers a wide spectrum corresponding to the telecommunication E-band to L-band.

We are able to extract the dielectric constant ε from the thickness dependent shifts by comparing to FDTD simulations (Figure 3b). The calculated shifts are almost linearly dependent on the thickness when t < 10.0 nm, and become superlinear in the thicker region which may be due to changes in the mode profile. The experimental data is reproduced well when $\varepsilon = 19 \pm 1$, whose value corresponds to the dielectric constant of bulk WSe₂. We note that in-plane ε is important for determining the redshifts because the fundamental mode is transverse electric (see Figure S5, Supporting Information).

We also study the quality factor Q in our WSe₂/cavity hybrids since large wavelength shifts are often accompanied by increased optical losses.^[16,19,20] As the spectral resolution of the present PL spectroscopy system is insufficient, transmittance measurements are performed to determine the Q factor.^[33,34] For t = 22.0 nm sample, the resonant wavelength is shifted by over 110 nm while the *Q*-factor remains almost unaltered at 2.2 × 10⁴ (see Figure S6, Supporting Information).



Figure 2. Resonant wavelength shifts by different types of 2D materials. PL spectra of an air-mode nanobeam before (black) and after (red) transfer of a) a 12.5-nm-thick h-BN flake, b) a 11.0-nm-thick MOS_2 flake, c) a 10.8 nm-thick WSe_2 flake, and d) a 11.9 nm-thick $MOTe_2$ flake on top. The excitation power is 300 μ W and the excitation wavelength is 780 nm. Arrows indicate the shift directions. Insets are optical images for the samples, and the scale bars are 20 μ m. Dots are data and solid lines are Lorentzian peak fits.



www.advopticalmat.de



Figure 3. Thickness dependence of resonant wavelength shifts. a) Normalized PL spectra of the fundamental mode for air-mode nanobeams before (red) and after (black) transfer of WSe₂ flakes. The data from top to bottom correspond to thickness of 40.3, 35.7, 22.0, and 15.5 nm. Spectra are plotted with respect to the resonant wavelengths before the transfer, which are 1378.1, 1420.0, 1460.4, and 1439.7 nm, respectively. The excitation power is 300 μ W and the excitation wavelength is 780 nm. Arrows indicate the shift directions. Dots are data and solid lines are Lorentzian peak fits. b) Resonant wavelength shifts as a function of WSe₂ thickness. Dots are data and lines are FDTD simulations with ε of 18.0 (purple), 19.0 (green), and 20.0 (orange). We note that several samples with the thin WSe₂ flakes deviate from the simulations, which could be due to the formation of an air space between the 2D material and the nanobeam (see Figure S4, Supporting Information).

The negligible effect on the Q factor could be attributed to a homogeneous interface with suppressed scattering loss as well as the absence of absorption from the WSe₂ flake at the cavity wavelength.

2.3. Quantized Wavelength Shifts by Atomically Thin WSe2

With the enhanced interaction, even a minor perturbation by 2D materials would influence the cavity mode and become detectable. By controlling the thickness of WSe₂ flakes with atomic precision, we demonstrate extreme sensitivity down to the monolayer limit. Since ultra-thin 2D materials are too fragile for sustaining the strain induced by PMDS stamps, deformation including rupture often occurs in the suspended region.^[19,35] Here we utilize the anthracene-assisted transfer method,[36] where the organic molecule single crystal supports and protects 2D flakes during the process (see Figures S7-S9, Supporting Information). Figure 4a shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the prepared monolayer WSe2/ cavity hybrid, where a uniform morphology over the nanobeam can be observed.

The typical PL spectra of nanobeam cavities that are integrated with quad- (4L), tri- (3L), bi- (2L), and mono-layer (1L) WSe2 flakes are shown in Figure 4b. The wavelength shift decreases by ≈ 3 nm as the thickness is reduced layer by layer. It is notable that the 1L WSe₂, the limit of the scaling, still tunes the mode of the nanobeam cavity effectively. The observed shifts are almost two orders of magnitude larger than the linewidth, assuming $O \approx 10^4$.

The roughly constant spacings between the cavity resonances suggest that the mode shifts are quantized due to the discrete thickness of atomically thin layers. To verify that the mode shifts are indeed governed by the layer number, we have prepared three sets of samples for each thickness and repeated the measurements (Figure 4c). Clearly resolved steps are observed, confirming the quantization of the mode shifts. It is remarkable that the resonant shifts show relatively small deviations that are comparable with conventional PC nanocavities,[37] benefitting from atomically precise thickness of the 2D material over the entire cavity.

The observed discrete wavelength shifts offer an opportunity to study the dielectric constant even in the ultra-thin limit. We compare experimental results with FDTD simulation to extract ε for 1L to 4L WSe₂. Since calculated wavelength shifts are linearly dependent on t in the thin limit (Figure 3b), we fit the experimental results with a simple linear model (Figure 4d). We observe a small intercept of 0.7 nm, which may come from anthracene residues as well as adsorption of other molecules on the surface of the 2D material. We have also performed FDTD simulations for various ε , and find that wavelength shift $\Delta\lambda$ is also linearly dependent on ε (see Figure S5, Supporting Information). The data can therefore be described by

$$\Delta \lambda = \alpha (\varepsilon - 1)t + \lambda_0 \tag{1}$$

where α = 0.21, is the coefficient determined by the FDTD calculations and λ_0 is the intercept. Considering that anthracene residue or surface adsorption should occur similarly in all the samples, we take $\lambda_0 = 0.7$ nm. The values of ε are extracted from data using Equation (1), and the results are shown in Figure 4e. The obtained values are comparable with the bulk value, revealing that the dielectric screening in the atomically thin limit is as effective.





Figure 4. Quantized wavelength shifts induced by atomically thin WSe₂ flakes. a) A top-view SEM image of a nanobeam cavity with a monolayer WSe₂ flake transferred above. Scale bar is 2 μ m. b) Normalized PL spectra of the fundamental modes for air-mode nanobeams with a monolayer (blue), bilayer (green), trilayer (orange), and quadlayer WSe₂ flake (red) on top. The horizontal axis is the shift with respect to the resonant wavelength before the transfer, which are 1461.6, 1454.9, 1464.2, and 1468.4 nm, respectively. The excitation power is 300 μ W and the excitation wavelength is 780 nm. Dots are data and solid lines are Lorentzian plus linear fits. c) Resonant wavelength shifts for all the measured samples. d) Resonant wavelength shifts as a function of layer number. Dots are data and the broken line is a linear fit. e) Extracted dielectric constant as a function of the layer number. Thickness of each layer is taken to be 0.65 nm in the analysis.

Since the cavity resonances arise from transverse-electric modes, the extracted values correspond to the in-plane ε . It is reported that in-plane ε measured by spectroscopic ellipsometry decreases with increasing the layer number for ultrathin TMDs.^[38,39] In comparison, first-principle calculations show that in-plane ε is insensitive to the layer number since the main contribution comes from in-plane atomic displacements.^[40] Our results show no apparent thickness dependence down to the monolayer limit, which is consistent with the calculations. The behavior of in-plane ε is important for 2D materials based electronic devices, for example, the tunneling length through Schottky barriers in metal/2D contacts is strongly affected by in-plane ε .^[41] We note that extrinsic effects from processing and adsorption have been taken into account through λ_0 in our analysis, and the extracted values should therefore represent the intrinsic dielectric properties of atomically thin WSe₂.

2.4. Flexible Reconfiguration of 2D/Cavity Hybrids

One of the advantages of using 2D materials comes from their versatile manipulation capabilities, where flakes can be placed in the desired order without the restriction of lattice matching. In addition, the removal of transferred flakes is possible owing to the weak van der Waals force. In **Figure 5**, we demonstrate additive and reversible control with a WSe₂/cavity hybrid. A 2L WSe₂ flake is first transferred on top of a nanobeam, and we observe a mode shift by 6.5 nm (blue curve). Next, another transfer of a 3L flake is performed, which further shifts the mode by 9.5 nm (purple curve). The shifts are consistent with

the quantized values shown in Figure 4c. We note that the linewidth increases slightly, suggesting additional loss from scattering induced by 2D-2D interfacial bubbles. By picking up the stack using an anthracene stamp (see Figure S8, Supporting Information), the *Q* factor is recovered and the mode is shifted back.



Figure 5. Reconfiguration of a cavity by stacking and removing of WSe₂ flakes. PL spectra of the fundamental mode of the as-fabricated cavity (gray), after the first transfer of a 2L WSe₂ flake (blue), after the second transfer of a 3L flake (purple), and after the removal of the stacked flakes (green). The excitation power is 300 μ W and the excitation wavelength is 780 nm. Dots are data and solid lines are Lorentzian plus linear fits. Insets illustrate the configuration of the device during the measurements.



The high compatibility between 2D materials and cavities demonstrated here opens up various applications in integrated photonics. The integration with 2D materials could be used as a post-fabrication tuning technology, where the giant wavelength tunable range of over 200 nm can cover the telecommunication E-band through L-band. By using ultra-thin flakes, fine tuning can be done with high predictability and reproducibility because of the quantized shifts arising from the atomically precise thickness. This is in contrast to continuous mode shifts obtained in conventional methods such as chemical etching,^[42] coating of photochromic films,^[43] and condensation of gases.^[44] In addition, the stackable and removable 2D materials offer a new degree of freedom for reconfigurable cavities. Finally, as demonstrated in the measurement of ε , 2D/cavity hybrids are an ideal platform for investigating the optical properties of atomically thin structures due to the high sensitivity of the air-mode cavities. Sensing of a small number of molecules could become possible by monitoring a high-Q cavity resonance, which would extend the limits of the 2D materials based sensing technologies.^[45]

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed an air-mode cavity for integration with 2D materials. By investigating various 2D material types and thickness dependence, we show that the fabricated 2D/cavity hybrids have a giant wavelength tunable range of over 200 nm. We observe a clear quantization behavior of the wavelength shift for WSe₂ where each step represents an effect from an additional monolayer. The in-plane dielectric constant of WSe₂ can be extracted because of the high surface sensitivity of the air-mode cavity, and shows a thickness independent characteristic down to the monolayer. By stacking and removing the transferred flakes, we demonstrate that the hybrids benefit from the versatile manipulation capabilities of the 2D materials. These findings reveal fascinating features of the cavity that is efficiently controlled by 2D materials, and provide a universal design strategy for enhancing the light-matter interaction with nanomaterials.

4. Experimental Section

Nanobeam Cavity Fabrication: The nanobeam cavities were fabricated on a silicon-on-insulator substrate with a 260-nm-thick top silicon layer and a 1- μ m-thick buried-oxide (BOX) layer. After defining the PC pattern on a resist mask by electron beam lithography, the pattern was transferred to the top silicon slab through an inductively coupled plasma process using C₄F₈ and SF₆ gases. Following resist removal, the BOX layer was etched with 20% hydrofluoric acid to form an air-suspended nanobeam structure.

PL Measurements: A homebuilt confocal microscopy system was used to perform PL measurements at room temperature in dry nitrogen gas. A wavelength-tunable Ti:sapphire laser was used for excitation with its power controlled by neutral density filters. Polarization angle was adjusted to match the cavity mode by a half-wave plate. The laser beam was focused on the samples using an objective lens with a numerical aperture of 0.65 and a working distance of 4.5 mm. The $1/e^2$ beam diameter and the collection spot size defined by a confocal pinhole

were \approx 1.2 and 5.4 µm, respectively. PL was collected through the same objective lens and detected using a liquid-nitrogen-cooled 1024 pixel InGaAs diode array attached to a spectrometer. PL was dispersed by a 150 lines per mm grating and the dispersion was 0.52 nm per pixel at the center wavelength of 1340 nm.

Transfer of 2D Materials by PDMS Stamps: Thick flakes of 2D materials with t > 5 nm were transferred on the cavities by a conventional PDMS stamp method to form 2D/cavity hybrids.^[28] 2D material flakes were prepared on a PDMS sheet (Gelfilm by Gelpak) by mechanical exfoliation and then transferred on the target nanobeam cavity at 120 °C by using a micromanipulator system. The thickness of the transferred 2D material flakes was determined by atomic force microscopy. h-BN crystals were supplied by NIMS and other 2D material crystals were purchased from HQ graphene.

Transfer of 2D Materials by Anthracene Crystals: Anthracene crystals were grown with the same method as in ref. [36]. 2D flakes were prepared on a standard 90-nm-thick SiO₂/Si substrates by mechanical exfoliation and the layer number was determined by optical contrast. An anthracene single crystal was picked up with a glass-supported PDMS sheet to form an anthracene/PDMS stamp. 2D flakes were picked up by pressing the anthracene/PDMS stamp against a substrate with the target 2D flakes, followed by quick separation (>10 mm s⁻¹) so that the anthracene crystal remained attached to the PDMS sheet. The stamp was then pressed on a receiving substrate with the cavity. By slowly peeling off the PDMS (<0.2~ μ m s⁻¹), the anthracene crystal with the target 2D flake was released. Sublimation of anthracene in air at 110 °C for 10 min left behind clean 2D flakes because contamination from solvents was absent in the all-dry process.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

N.F. and D.Y. contributed equally to this work. Parts of this study are supported by JSPS (KAKENHI JP20H02558, JP20K15199, JP20J00817, JP20K15137, JP20K15120, JP19K23593, JP18H03864, JP19H00755, JP21H05237, JP21H05232), MIC (SCOPE 191503001), and MEXT (Nanotechnology Platform JPMXP09F19UT0075). The growth of hBN crystals was supported by the Elemental Strategy Initiative conducted by the MEXT, Japan (Grant Number JPMXP0112101001) and JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP19H05790, JP20H00354 and JP21H05233). D.Y. is supported by JSPS (Research Fellowship for Young Scientists). N.F. and S.F. are supported by RIKEN Special Postdoctoral Researcher Program. The FDTD calculations were performed using the HOKUSAI BigWaterfall supercomputer at RIKEN. The authors thank the Advanced Manufacturing Support Team at RIKEN for technical assistance. The authors also thank H. Kumazaki for helpful discussion of the dimpled fiber fabrication.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

SCIENCE NEWS _____ www.advancedsciencenews.com

IDVANCED

Keywords

2D materials, cavity mode modification, nanocavities, quantization

Received: March 8, 2022 Revised: May 13, 2022 Published online: July 10, 2022

- K. S. Novoselov, Z. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Morozov, H. L. Stormer, U. Zeitler, J. C. Maan, G. S. Boebinger, P. Kim, A. K. Geim, *Science* 2007, *315*, 1379.
- [2] S. B. Desai, S. R. Madhvapathy, A. B. Sachid, J. P. Llinas, Q. Wang, G. H. Ahn, G. Pitner, M. J. Kim, J. Bokor, C. Hu, H. S. Wong, A. Javey, *Science* **2016**, *354*, 99.
- [3] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nature* 2018, 556, 43.
- [4] K. Yasuda, X. Wang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Jarillo-Herrero, Science 2021, 372, 1458.
- [5] K. F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan, T. F. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2010, 105, 136805.
- [6] D. Xiao, G.-B. Liu, W. Feng, X. Xu, W. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2012, 108, 196802.
- [7] C. Jin, E. C. Regan, A. Yan, M. I. B. Utama, D. Wang, S. Zhao, Y. Qin, S. Yang, Z. Zheng, S. Shi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Tongay, A. Zettl, F. Wang, *Nature* **2019**, *567*, 76.
- [8] A. Säynätjoki, L. Karvonen, H. Rostami, A. Autere, S. Mehravar, A. Lombardo, R. A. Norwood, T. Hasan, N. Peyghambarian, H. Lipsanen, K. Kieu, A. C. Ferrari, M. Polini, Z. Sun, *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 893.
- [9] Y. Li, J. Zhang, D. Huang, H. Sun, F. Fan, J. Feng, Z. Wang, C. Z. Ning, Nat. Nanotechnol. 2017, 12, 987.
- [10] S. Wu, S. Buckley, J. R. Schaibley, L. Feng, J. Yan, D. G. Mandrus, F. Hatami, W. Yao, J. Vučković, A. Majumdar, X. Xu, *Nature* 2015, 520, 69.
- [11] J. Shang, C. Cong, Z. Wang, N. Peimyoo, L. Wu, C. Zou, Y. Chen, X. Y. Chin, J. Wang, C. Soci, W. Huang, T. Yu, *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 543.
- [12] S. Busschaert, R. Reimann, M. Cavigelli, R. Khelifa, A. Jain, L. Novotny, ACS Photonics 2020, 7, 2482.
- [13] T. K. Fryett, K. L. Seyler, J. Zheng, C.-H. Liu, X. Xu, A. Majumdar, 2D Mater. 2016, 4, 015031.
- [14] X. Liu, T. Galfsky, Z. Sun, F. Xia, E.-c. Lin, Y.-H. Lee, S. Kéna-Cohen, V. M. Menon, *Nat. Photonics* **2015**, *9*, 30.
- [15] C. Zhao, X. Gan, Q. Yuan, S. Hu, L. Fang, J. Zhao, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2018, 6, 1700882.
- [16] A. Majumdar, J. Kim, J. Vuckovic, F. Wang, Nano Lett. 2013, 13, 515.
- [17] J. He, I. Paradisanos, T. Liu, A. R. Cadore, J. Liu, M. Churaev, R. N. Wang, A. S. Raja, C. Javerzac-Galy, P. Roelli, D. D. Fazio, B. L. Rosa, S. Tongay, G. Soavi, A. C. Ferrari, T. J. Kippenberg, *Nano Lett.* **2021**, *21*, 2709.
- [18] I. Datta, S. H. Chae, G. R. Bhatt, M. A. Tadayon, B. Li, Y. Yu, C. Park, J. Park, L. Cao, D. Basov, J. Hone, M. Lipson, *Nat. Photonics* **2020**, *14*, 256.
- [19] T. K. Fryett, Y. Chen, J. Whitehead, Z. M. Peycke, X. Xu, A. Majumdar, ACS Photonics 2018, 5, 2176.

- [20] G. Wei, T. K. Stanev, D. A. Czaplewski, I. W. Jung, N. P. Stern, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2015, 107, 091112.
- [21] G. Righini, Y. Dumeige, P. Féron, M. Ferrari, G. N. Conti, D. Ristic, S. Soria, La Riv. del Nuovo Cim. 2011, 34, 435.
- [22] J. M. Hall, V. S. Afshar, M. R. Henderson, A. François, T. Reynolds, N. Riesen, T. M. Monro, Opt. Express 2015, 23, 9924.
- [23] B. Min, L. Yang, K. Vahala, Phys. Rev. A 2007, 76, 013823.
- [24] J. Foresi, P. R. Villeneuve, J. Ferrera, E. Thoen, G. Steinmeyer, S. Fan, J. Joannopoulos, L. Kimerling, H. I. Smith, E. Ippen, *Nature* 1997, 390, 143.
- [25] M. Notomi, E. Kuramochi, H. Taniyama, Opt. Express 2008, 16, 11095.
- [26] Y. Zhang, I. Bulu, W.-M. Tam, B. Levitt, J. Shah, T. Botto, M. Loncar, Opt. Express 2011, 19, 9371.
- [27] R. Miura, S. Imamura, R. Ohta, A. Ishii, X. Liu, T. Shimada, S. Iwamoto, Y. Arakawa, Y. K. Kato, *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5580.
- [28] A. Castellanos-Gomez, M. Buscema, R. Molenaar, V. Singh, L. Janssen, H. S. Van Der Zant, G. A. Steele, 2D Mater. 2014, 1, 011002.
- [29] D. Wong, J. Velasco, L. Ju, J. Lee, S. Kahn, H.-Z. Tsai, C. Germany, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, A. Zettl, F. Wang, M. F. Crommie, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2015, *10*, 949.
- [30] F. Hayee, L. Yu, J. L. Zhang, C. J. Ciccarino, M. Nguyen, A. F. Marshall, I. Aharonovich, J. Vučković, P. Narang, T. F. Heinz, J. A. Dionne, *Nat. Mater.* **2020**, *19*, 534.
- [31] D. Rosser, D. Gerace, T. Chen, Y. Liu, J. Whitehead, A. Ryou, L. C. Andreani, A. Majumdar, *Opt. Mater. Express* 2022, 12, 59.
- [32] D. Kozawa, R. Kumar, A. Carvalho, K. K. Amara, W. Zhao, S. Wang, M. Toh, R. M. Ribeiro, A. C. Neto, K. Matsuda, G. Eda, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 4543.
- [33] M. W. McCutcheon, P. B. Deotare, Y. Zhang, M. Lončar, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2011, 98, 111117.
- [34] T. Tetsumoto, Y. Ooka, T. Takasumi, Opt. Express 2015, 23, 16256.
- [35] M. Onodera, S. Masubuchi, R. Moriya, T. Machida, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 2020, 59, 010101.
- [36] K. Otsuka, N. Fang, D. Yamashita, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, Y. K. Kato, Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 3138.
- [37] K. Ashida, M. Okano, M. Ohtsuka, M. Seki, N. Yokoyama, K. Koshino, M. Mori, T. Asano, S. Noda, Y. Takahashi, *Opt. Express* 2017, 25, 18165.
- [38] Y. Yu, Y. Yu, Y. Cai, W. Li, A. Gurarslan, H. Peelaers, D. E. Aspnes, C. G. van De Walle, N. V. Nguyen, Y. W. Zhang, L. Cao, *Sci. Rep.* 2015, 5, 16996.
- [39] B. Song, H. Gu, M. Fang, X. Chen, H. Jiang, R. Wang, T. Zhai, Y. T. Ho, S. Liu, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2019, 7, 1801250.
- [40] A. Laturia, M. L. V. de Put, W. G. Vandenberghe, npj 2D Mater. Appl. 2018, 2, 6.
- [41] D. S. Schulman, A. J. Arnold, S. Das, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 3037.
- [42] M. Kuwabara, S. Noda, Y. Takahashi, Laser Photonics Rev. 2019, 13, 1800258.
- [43] D. Sridharan, E. Waks, G. Solomon, J. T. Fourkas, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2010, 96, 15.
- [44] S. Mosor, J. Hendrickson, B. C. Richards, J. Sweet, G. Khitrova, H. M. Gibbs, T. Yoshie, A. Scherer, O. B. Shchekin, D. G. Deppe, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2005, *87*, 141105.
- [45] S. Mao, J. Chang, H. Pu, G. Lu, Q. He, H. Zhang, J. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 6872.